United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,670	09/30/2004	Yi-Bing Lee	12847-US-PA	5669
. 31561 JIANO CHYU	7590 05/22/2007 IN INTELLECTUAL PROI	EXAMINER		
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			LEE, PING	
ROOSEVELT TAIPEI, 100	ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEL 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			2615	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/711,670	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ping Lee	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reality to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 N	<u>1arch 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baumhauer Jr. et al (hereafter Baumhauer) (US005121426A).

Regarding claims 1, 5-7, Baumhauer discloses, in Fig. 15 in combination with Figs. 6 and 21, a dual microphone module communication device for a teleconference system, comprising, within each microphone port:

a first microphone module (200-1 in Fig. 6) for receiving a near-end audio signal and amplifying the near-end audio signal to produce a first audio signal; a second microphone module (200-2) for receiving the near-end audio signal, wherein the second microphone module has a fixed gain (there is not gain modification for the signal from 200-2 to 230) and the second microphone module shifts (by 220) a phase of the near-end audio signal to produce a second audio signal with a phase difference relative to the near-end audio signal; and a mixer circuit (230) for receiving the first audio signal and the second audio signal and subtracting the second audio signal from the first audio signal to produce a third audio signal.

Regarding claim 2, Baumhauer shows a loudspeaker (in the middle under the circle); and a control unit (as shown in Fig. 21) coupled to the mixer circuit and the

Art Unit: 2615

loudspeaker, wherein the control unit receives a far-end audio signal from a far-end communication terminal via a communication network (coupled to the telephone line) and broadcasts the far end audio signal through the loudspeaker, and the control unit also converts the third audio signal into an electrical audio frequency signal and transmits the audio frequency signal to the far-end communication terminal via the communication network.

Regarding claim 3, Baumhauer shows that the first microphone and the second microphone faces a predetermined direction for receiving the near-end audio signal and the loudspeaker faces a direction within a range just opposite to the predetermined direction, and the direction in which the loudspeaker outputs the far-end audio signal is opposite to the predetermined direction. See col. 8, lines 31-37.

Claims 8, 9 and 11-13 specify a teleconferencing system that is similar to the one as discussed for claims 1-3 and 5-7 above.

Claims 14-17 specify a method of carrying out a teleconference using the system as discussed for claims 1-3 and 5-7 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2615

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

5. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumhauer in view of Miller, II (hereafter Miller) (US 5,029,215).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Baumhauer fails to show a gain modulation circuit. Baumhauer teaches the basic second-order pressure gradient microphone system with providing the detail circuitry coupled to each microphone. Miller teaches the specific of having a pre-amplifier coupled to each microphone. See. Fig. 3. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Baumhauer by having pre-amplifier coupled to the microphones as taught by Miller in order to amplify the microphone signal to proper signal level.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ping Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-7522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rrimary Examiner Art Unit 2615

pwl